Vol. 13(2), pp. 44-50, September 2025
https://doi.org/10.14662/ijebm2025100

ISSN:2384-6151
https://www.academicresearchjournals.org/IJEBM/ijebm.html

International Journals of Economic and Business Management

Full Length Research

Corporate Social Responsibility and Public Administration: A Conceptual Sociological Framework for Strengthening State Business Relations in Nasarawa State.

[1]Yusuf Ombogutsa Kigbu, [2]Douglas Samson Iya and [3]Odonye Osekweyi Yakubu

[1]Department of Public Administration, School of Administration and Business Studies, Isa Mustapha Agwai 1 Polytechnic Lafia Nasarawa State. E-mail: kigbuyusuf12@gmail.com
[2]Department of General Studies, School of General Studies & Pre-ND, Isa Mustapha Agwai 1 Polytechnic, Lafia, Nasarawa. E-mail: Douglassmson79@gmail.com
[3]Department of Business Administration, Faculty of Administration, Bingham University, Karu, Nasarawa State. E-mail: odoyaks@gmail.com

Accepted 27 September 2025

Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) is increasingly recognized as a governance mechanism in developing countries where public institutions face limitations in delivering essential services. In Nasarawa State, Nigeria, CSR remains largely philanthropic, often fragmented and poorly integrated into state development priorities. This study develops a conceptual sociological framework to explain how CSR can strengthen state–business relations by fostering trust, legitimacy, and participatory governance. A conceptual and qualitative approach was adopted, drawing on secondary data from CSR, sociology, and public administration literature. The analysis employed thematic and content analysis to synthesize perspectives on CSR's governance role. The framework proposes a causal pathway in which CSR initiatives generate social trust, which enhances cooperation between businesses and government, thereby improving service delivery. Theoretically, this extends CSR beyond image-building, positioning it as a sociological construct embedded in governance processes. For practice, the study recommends the institutionalization of CSR policies in Nasarawa State that align corporate interventions with government development priorities. Public administrators should also establish participatory monitoring systems to foster transparency and community inclusion. These steps will promote legitimacy, strengthen state–business relations, and enhance inclusive socio-economic development. Embedding CSR into public administration can transform it from a voluntary corporate gesture into a governance tool for sustainable development. Future research should explore CSR integration in other sub-national contexts for comparative insights.

Keywords: Corporate Social Responsibility, Public Administration, Sociological Framework, State–Business Relations, Nasarawa State.

Citation: Kigbu, YO., Iya, DS., Yakubu, OO (2025). Corporate Social Responsibility and Public Administration: A Conceptual Sociological Framework for Strengthening State Business Relations in Nasarawa State. Inter. J. Econ. Bus. Manage. Vol. 13(2), pp. 44-50

Introduction

Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) has gained prominence globally as both a business strategy and a governance tool for promoting sustainable development (Carroll, 1999; Matten & Moon, 2008). In developing economies, CSR is increasingly recognized not only for its potential to enhance corporate image but also for its role in supporting public institutions in addressing pressing socio-economic challenges (Amaeshi, Adi, Ogbechie, & Amao, 2006). Within Nigeria, and specifically Nasarawa State, the discourse around CSR and public administration is vital due to persistent issues such as poverty, unemployment, weak institutional capacity, and limited public service delivery.

Public administration plays a central role in ensuring accountability, transparency, and equitable resource allocation (Rosenbloom, 2014). However, in resource-constrained environments like Nasarawa State, government institutions often struggle to deliver services effectively, creating a gap that CSR initiatives can help bridge (Okoye, 2012). Sociological perspectives further emphasize the importance of legitimacy, social trust, and community participation in strengthening state–business relations (Putnam, 2000; Suchman, 1995). When CSR initiatives are aligned with public administration, they not only foster social cohesion but also contribute to participatory governance and inclusive development.

This paper, therefore, proposes a conceptual sociological framework that situates CSR within public administration as a mechanism for improving state–business relations in Nasarawa State. It seeks to contribute to theoretical discourse by highlighting the interplay between corporate responsibilities, governance structures, and community expectations. By doing so, it provides practical insights into how CSR can be institutionalized to complement governance processes and promote sustainable socio-economic transformation in the state.

Statement of the Problem

Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) in Nigeria has evolved over the past two decades as an important strategy for businesses to contribute to social development, yet its integration into public administration remains limited and fragmented. In Nasarawa State, businesses often engage in CSR activities such as education support, healthcare provision, and community infrastructure, but these initiatives are frequently uncoordinated with government development plans (Amaeshi, Adi, Ogbechie, & Amao, 2006; Okoye, 2012). This lack of synergy between CSR and public administration reduces the overall effectiveness of CSR in addressing persistent socio-economic challenges such as unemployment, weak institutional capacity, and poverty. Furthermore, CSR in the state is often driven by corporate image-building rather than long-term development goals, creating a mismatch between community needs, state policies, and business priorities (Matten & Moon, 2008).

From a sociological perspective, weak collaboration between the state and businesses undermines the development of trust, legitimacy, and participatory governance (Suchman, 1995; Putnam, 2000). When CSR is not embedded within public administration, opportunities to strengthen social cohesion and institutional accountability are missed. This gap has significant implications for state–business relations in Nasarawa, where strained relationships between corporate actors, communities, and government institutions often lead to conflicts, duplication of efforts, and inefficiencies. Therefore, there is a need for a conceptual sociological framework that can guide the integration of CSR into public administration to foster more collaborative, transparent, and sustainable development outcomes in Nasarawa State.

Research Objectives

The study is guided by two key objectives:

i. To examine how Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) initiatives can be integrated into public administration to strengthen state business relations in Nasarawa State.
ii. To develop a conceptual sociological framework that highlights the role of CSR in promoting social trust, legitimacy, and participatory governance in Nasarawa State.

Research Questions

The Study is guided by these questions:

i. How can CSR initiatives be effectively aligned with public administration processes to improve state business relations in Nasarawa State?
ii. In what ways can a sociological framework of CSR enhance social cohesion, legitimacy, and accountability in the governance structures of Nasarawa State?

Literature Review

Conceptualizing Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR)

Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) is broadly defined as the obligation of organizations to contribute positively to the communities and environments in which they operate (Carroll, 1999). Carroll's four-part model economic, legal, ethical, and philanthropic responsibilities remain the foundation for understanding CSR globally. In Nigeria, CSR is often driven by philanthropy rather than structured strategic initiatives (Amaeshi, Adi, Ogbechie, & Amao, 2006). Scholars argue that CSR in developing contexts must go beyond image-building to align with sustainable development priorities (Okoye, 2012).

CSR and Public Administration

Public administration focuses on governance, accountability, and the efficient delivery of public services (Rosenbloom, 2014). Integrating CSR into public administration provides opportunities to bridge gaps in service provision, particularly in resource-constrained states like Nasarawa. Studies have shown that CSR initiatives can complement government efforts in education, healthcare, and infrastructure (Idemudia, 2011). However, weak regulatory frameworks often hinder meaningful collaboration between businesses and the state (Matten & Moon, 2008). This disconnects results in fragmented CSR practices that do not significantly address structural development challenges.

Sociological Perspectives on CSR

From a sociological standpoint, CSR extends beyond corporate philanthropy to issues of legitimacy, trust, and social cohesion (Suchman, 1995). Communities evaluate corporate actions through a lens of social expectations, which shapes the legitimacy of both businesses and state institutions (Putnam, 2000). CSR that fosters participatory governance can strengthen institutional trust, reduce conflict, and encourage collective problem-solving (Moon, Crane, & Matten, 2011). In the context of Nasarawa State, where weak state–community relations persist, CSR has the potential to serve as a platform for inclusive governance.

CSR and State–Business Relations in Nigeria

Nigeria presents a complex environment where CSR intersects with governance and socio-economic development. Oil-producing states such as the Niger Delta illustrate how poorly coordinated CSR can fuel community unrest when misaligned with public expectations (Eweje, 2006). Conversely, CSR aligned with public administration objectives can reduce tensions and support sustainable partnerships (Uduji & Okolo-Obasi, 2019). In Nasarawa State, where extractive industries, agriculture, and small enterprises are growing, CSR provides a unique opportunity to redefine state–business relations by embedding community needs within governance frameworks.

Identified Gaps in Literature

Although extensive research exists on CSR in Nigeria, most studies emphasize multinational corporations in the oil and gas sector, with limited focus on non-oil states like Nasarawa (Idemudia, 2011; Uduji & Okolo-Obasi, 2019). Similarly, little attention has been paid to how CSR can be systematically integrated into public administration through a sociological framework. This gap highlights the need for conceptual studies that theorize CSR as a governance tool capable of fostering legitimacy, accountability, and participatory development in non-oil resource contexts.

Conceptual Sociological Framework

Constructs of the Framework

The conceptual sociological framework for this study is anchored on the interrelationships among Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR), social trust, state–business cooperation, and service delivery. CSR is understood as the voluntary initiatives undertaken by businesses to contribute to societal well-being beyond profit-making (Carroll, 1999). Social trust, defined as the confidence citizens and institutions have in one another to act fairly and reliably, is a critical sociological construct that enhances institutional legitimacy (Putnam, 2000). State–business cooperation refers to the degree of alignment and collaboration between corporations and public administration in achieving developmental goals (Moon, 2002). Finally, service delivery reflects the government's ability to provide social, economic, and infrastructural services effectively (World Bank, 2004).

Hypothesized Relationships

The framework hypothesizes that CSR positively influences social trust, as visible and consistent corporate commitments build community confidence and reduce scepticism toward businesses (Suchman, 1995). In turn, higher social trust enhances state–business cooperation, since trust creates a platform for shared responsibility and accountability (Matten & Moon, 2008). This cooperation is expected to lead to improved service delivery, as CSR initiatives become integrated into public administration's development agenda, reducing duplication and fostering collective problem-solving (Amaeshi et al., 2006).

Operational Classifications and Causal Paths

In the context of this study, operational classifications help to clarify how the constructs of the conceptual framework will be measured and understood within the specific case of Nasarawa State. First, Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) is defined as the voluntary and strategic actions taken by corporations to provide social goods such as education, healthcare, environmental protection, and community infrastructure that go beyond legal compliance and profit-making objectives (Carroll, 1999; Amaeshi et al., 2006). CSR in this sense represents a developmental tool that bridges the gap between private sector capabilities and state obligations in fragile governance environments.

Second, social trust is defined as the degree of confidence that citizens and institutions have in each other to act in ways that promote fairness, transparency, and accountability (Putnam, 2000). In fragile state–business relations, as in Nasarawa, CSR initiatives can enhance trust by demonstrating corporate commitment to community welfare and reducing scepticism about profit-driven motives (Suchman, 1995). Third, state–business cooperation refers to collaborative engagements between corporate actors and public administrators in the design, implementation, and monitoring of development initiatives (Moon, 2002). Finally, service delivery is operationalized as the accessibility, quality, and responsiveness of essential services such as healthcare, education, and infrastructure, which are often underprovided in developing contexts (World Bank, 2004). The causal path hypothesized is that CSR initiatives generate legitimacy and goodwill, which in turn strengthen social trust among communities and institutions. Higher levels of trust foster state–business cooperation, as both actors become more willing to share responsibilities, resources, and information (Matten & Moon, 2008). This cooperation enhances service delivery, as duplication of efforts is minimized and development interventions become more efficient and sustainable. Thus, the causal chain can be summarized as:

CSR → Social Trust → State–Business Cooperation → Service Delivery.

This causal pathway underscores the sociological argument that development outcomes are not only economic but also socially constructed, requiring legitimacy, trust, and cooperation for sustainable impact (Putnam, 2000; Suchman, 1995).

Conceptual Model

Narrative Explanation

The conceptual model guiding this study illustrates the hypothesized relationships between Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR), social trust, state–business cooperation, and service delivery in Nasarawa State. Rooted in sociological theory, the model emphasizes that CSR initiatives are not merely philanthropic gestures but social practices that can influence legitimacy, cooperation, and institutional effectiveness (Carroll, 1999; Matten & Moon, 2008).

The model begins with CSR, defined as voluntary corporate initiatives aimed at addressing social, economic, and environmental concerns within communities (Amaeshi et al., 2006). CSR is hypothesized to build social trust by signalling corporate commitment to community welfare, thereby reducing suspicion of profit-driven motives (Suchman, 1995). Trust, in turn, is critical for fostering state–business cooperation, as it creates a basis for mutual accountability and shared responsibility between corporations and public administrators (Putnam, 2000; Moon, 2002). When cooperation is established, the integration of corporate initiatives into public administration structures enhances the effectiveness and sustainability of service delivery. This process minimizes duplication of efforts, leverages resources, and ensures that development interventions are aligned with state priorities (World Bank, 2004). Thus, the conceptual pathway suggests that CSR initiatives indirectly contribute to service delivery outcomes through their impact on trust and cooperation.

Implications of the Model

This model underscores that CSR cannot be treated as an isolated corporate function. Rather, it operates as a catalyst for building social legitimacy, strengthening institutional trust, and promoting governance partnerships. In the context of Nasarawa State, the framework provides a pathway for reconceptualizing CSR as an instrument of collaborative governance that enhances both state capacity and corporate accountability.

Methodology

Research Design

This study adopts a conceptual and qualitative research design grounded in sociological perspectives to develop a theoretical framework on how corporate social responsibility (CSR) can strengthen state–business relations in Nasarawa State. The conceptual approach was chosen because the study's purpose is not empirical hypothesis testing but theory building through critical engagement with existing scholarship and policy debates (Ahuja & Kumar, 2020). The qualitative dimension allows for the interpretation of social realities, processes, and meanings that underpin CSR and governance, offering a flexible and interpretive lens for analyzing the dynamics of state–business cooperation in a developing society (Creswell & Creswell, 2018). The study relies exclusively on secondary data, including peer-reviewed literature, government policy documents, corporate CSR disclosures, and international best practice reports.

Data were analysed using thematic and content analysis following Braun and Clarke's (2006) framework, which enabled the identification of recurring themes such as CSR obligations, governance structures, social trust, and cooperation between businesses and the state. These insights were synthesized into a sociological framework that conceptually links CSR initiatives to trust-building, improved state–business cooperation, and enhanced service delivery.

Justification

The methodological choices are justified on three key grounds. First, a conceptual and qualitative approach is most suitable for theory-building exercises where the goal is to construct a framework rather than generate statistical generalizations (Gilson & Goldberg, 2015). Second, the reliance on secondary data provides broader coverage, theoretical depth, and analytical flexibility, while avoiding the limitations of small-sample surveys in complex governance contexts (Snyder, 2019). Third, the application of thematic and content analysis is consistent with best practices in public administration and sociological research, enabling the identification of patterns and relationships crucial for developing a policy-relevant framework for strengthening state–business relations in Nasarawa State (Ritchie & Lewis, 2003).

Discussion and Conclusion

The conceptual sociological framework developed in this study highlights the critical role of Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) in bridging the gap between businesses and public administration. Unlike much of the existing literature that frames CSR as either philanthropic or a corporate image-building tool (Amaeshi et al., 2006; Okoye, 2012), this framework reconceptualizes CSR as a governance mechanism capable of enhancing social trust, strengthening institutional cooperation, and improving service delivery. By integrating CSR into public administration, businesses in Nasarawa State can shift from fragmented interventions to structured contributions that align with state development priorities, ensuring long-term impact and sustainability.

The theoretical contribution of this study lies in positioning CSR within a sociological framework that emphasizes legitimacy, trust, and cooperative governance. While Carroll's (1999) CSR pyramid offers a foundational understanding of CSR obligations, and Matten and Moon's (2008) work distinguishes between "explicit" and "implicit" CSR, this study extends the discourse by linking CSR to social trust and state–business cooperation. This relational pathway (CSR → Social Trust → Cooperation → Service Delivery) introduces a new dimension to CSR research in Nigeria by underscoring its sociological relevance for sub-national governance. It demonstrates that CSR is not merely an economic practice but also a social construct that can foster legitimacy and participatory governance in fragile contexts (Suchman, 1995; Putnam, 2000).

Practically, the findings have strong implications for policy and governance in Nasarawa State. For public administrators, creating regulatory frameworks that integrate CSR into local development planning can enhance accountability and reduce duplication of efforts. For instance, structured state–business forums could institutionalize dialogue between corporations, communities, and government agencies, ensuring that CSR initiatives reflect both community needs and state development objectives (Moon, 2002). For businesses, embracing CSR as a cooperative practice rather than a symbolic gesture can build community goodwill, reduce resistance, and strengthen corporate legitimacy in the local environment. Furthermore, this framework underscores the importance of trust as a mediating factor. In contexts where distrust between corporations, communities, and the state is high, CSR can serve as a trust-building mechanism that fosters social cohesion and legitimacy (Putnam, 2000). When such trust is established, state–business cooperation becomes more feasible, resulting in improved service delivery outcomes, particularly in critical sectors such as healthcare, education, and infrastructure (World Bank, 2004). This reinforces the view that CSR, when properly embedded in public administration, can act as a catalyst for inclusive and sustainable development in Nasarawa State.

Recommendations

To effectively integrate CSR into public administration, the Nasarawa State government should establish a policy framework that aligns corporate initiatives with state development priorities such as health, education, and infrastructure. This framework, coupled with institutionalized state–business forums, would ensure structured collaboration where corporations and government agencies co-design and co-implement projects. Such alignment directly strengthens state–business relations through integrated governance practices (Amaeshi et al., 2006; Moon, 2002).

In line with building social trust and legitimacy, businesses should embrace CSR as a mechanism for fostering cohesion rather than mere philanthropy. Public administrators can enhance this by introducing participatory monitoring systems that involve communities in assessing CSR projects. This inclusive approach will promote transparency, accountability, and trust among corporations, government, and citizens, ensuring CSR becomes a tool for participatory governance and inclusive development (Suchman, 1995; Putnam, 2000).

Research Gaps and Directions for Future Research

Despite growing interest in CSR within Nigeria, limited research has explored its integration into public administration and its role in strengthening state–business relations at the sub-national level, particularly in Nasarawa State. Existing studies focus more on corporate philanthropy, overlooking CSR as a governance tool for building trust, legitimacy, and participatory development (Amaeshi et al., 2006; Moon, 2002). Future research should examine the effectiveness of CSR policy frameworks in aligning corporate initiatives with state development priorities and investigate community perspectives on CSR participation. Additionally, comparative studies across Nigerian states could provide broader insights into how CSR can be institutionalized as part of governance processes for inclusive socio-economic development.

References

Amaeshi, K., Adi, B. C., Ogbechie, C., & Amao, O. O. (2006). Corporate social responsibility in Nigeria: Western mimicry or indigenous practices? *Journal of Corporate Citizenship, 24*(Winter), 83–99.

Carroll, A. B. (1999). Corporate social responsibility: Evolution of a definitional construct. *Business & Society, 38*(3), 268–295. https://doi.org/10.1177/000765039903800303

Eweje, G. (2006). The role of MNEs in community development initiatives in developing countries: Corporate social responsibility at work in Nigeria and South Africa. *Business & Society, 45*(2), 93–129. https://doi.org/10.1177/0007650305285394

Idemudia, U. (2011). Corporate social responsibility and developing countries: Moving the critical CSR research agenda in Africa forward. *Progress in Development Studies, 11*(1), 1–18. https://doi.org/10.1177/146499341001100101

Matten, D., & Moon, J. (2008). “Implicit” and “explicit” CSR: A conceptual framework for a comparative understanding of corporate social responsibility. *Academy of Management Review, 33*(2), 404–424. https://doi.org/10.5465/amr.2008.31193458

Moon, J. (2002). The social responsibility of business and new governance. *Government and Opposition, 37*(3), 385–408. https://doi.org/10.1111/1477-7053.00106

Moon, J., Crane, A., & Matten, D. (2011). Corporations and citizenship. *Business Ethics Quarterly, 21*(1), 23–44. https://doi.org/10.5840/beq20112112

Okoye, A. (2012). Exploring the relationship between corporate social responsibility, law, and development in an African context: Should government be responsible for ensuring corporate responsibility? *International Journal of Law and Management, 54*(5), 364–378. https://doi.org/10.1108/17542431211264250

Okoye, A. (2012). Theorising corporate social responsibility as an essentially contested concept: Is a definition necessary? *Journal of Business Ethics, 89*(4), 613–627. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-008-0018-9

Putnam, R. D. (2000). *Bowling alone: The collapse and revival of American community.* Simon & Schuster.

Rosenbloom, D. H. (2014). *Public administration: Understanding management, politics, and law in the public sector* (8th ed.). McGraw-Hill.

Suchman, M. C. (1995). Managing legitimacy: Strategic and institutional approaches. *Academy of Management Review, 20*(3), 571–610. https://doi.org/10.5465/amr.1995.9508080331

Uduji, J. I., & Okolo-Obasi, E. N. (2019). Corporate social responsibility initiatives in Nigeria and rural women livestock keepers in oil host communities. *The Extractive Industries and Society, 6*(2), 460–470. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.exis.2018.12.009

World Bank. (2004). *World development report 2004: Making services work for poor people.* World Bank. https://doi.org/10.1596/0-8213-5468-X